UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,253	12/12/2006	Helmut Augustin	095309.57510US	5031	
23911 CROWELL & 1	7590 12/11/200 MORING LLP	7	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY GRO	JONES, DAVID B			
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				0-1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/573,253	AUGUSTIN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		David B. Jones	3725	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may b after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	NGER, FROM THE MAILING DE available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5 cm the mailing date of this communication.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is	FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
,		·	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in acco	ordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•			
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>7,9,1</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>8,10</u>	is/are pending in the application ve claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdraw is/are allowed. 11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 12 16, and 17 is/are objected 13 are subject to restriction and/	vn from consideration. to.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specificati	on is objected to by the Examin	er.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	cepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may	not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
•	rawing sheet(s) including the correct eclaration is objected to by the E		s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) Office Action or form PTO-152.).
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119			
a) All b) S 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies application	ent is made of a claim for foreigone * c) None of: d copies of the priority document copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document to the certified copies of the priority document from the International Bureated detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References C	tited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson'	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application -	

Application/Control Number:

10/573,253 Art Unit: 3725 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 14 is incomplete and hence is indefinite in further limiting the invention of the previous claim 7.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klages et al. 5,235,836. Klages the claimed invention including a forming tool having upper and lower dies 22/22, a hollow profile 21 contained in cavities therein, at least one axial punch 2/7/8/11 with an axial through channel 4 therein, a rapid filling device including a filling attachment 19 with a filling bore 18 larger than through bore 4, the filling attachment 19 having a bore for the punch to penetrate there through, the axial punch also having a stop 10 thereon and a device 26 for holding the filling attachment on the forming tool during filling and wherein the device 26 is a compression spring. Regarding claim 11, the encircling seal can be seen in Klages at 24.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10/573,253 Art Unit: 3725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 9, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klages et al. 5,235,836. Klages teaches the claimed invention as treated supra excepting the shape of the filling attachment being that of a "bell shape". The particular shape of the filling attachment lacking a showing of criticality in the specification is but a choice of design rendering no new or unobvious result to the apparatus.
- 5. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Jones whose telephone number is 571 272 4518.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Banks Derris, can be reached at 571 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number:

10/573,253 Art Unit: 3725 Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ɗavid B Jones

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3725